Phil 225 -- Symbolic Logic HW 10 -- Due 4/20/12

- 1) For each of (a), (b), and (c), identify clearly whether it is a consequence of the given sentences. Prove your answer with either a derivation or an interpretation.
 - (1) $(\exists x)(y)[Fxy \land (\exists z)Gzx]$
 - (2) $(x)(y)(z)[(Gxy \land Fyz) \rightarrow Gxz]$
 - (3) $(x)(y)(Gyx \rightarrow Gxy)$
 - (a) $(\exists x)(y)Gyx$
 - (b) $(\exists x)(y)Fyx$
 - (c) $(x)(y)(Fxy \rightarrow Fyx) \rightarrow (x)(y)Gxy$
- 2) Identify each of the following as valid, inconsistent, or neither. Support your answer with clearly identified, appropriate proofs or interpretations.
 - (a) $(x)[Fx \rightarrow (\exists y)(Gy \lor Fy)]$
 - (b($(\exists x)(y)(Fxy \leftrightarrow -Fyy)$
 - (c) $[(x)Fx \lor (x)Gx] \rightarrow (x)(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$
- 3) Derive the last sentence from the others without using rule T.

$$(P \Leftrightarrow -Q) \rightarrow R$$
$$-P \rightarrow (P \lor Q)$$
$$(S \land Q) \rightarrow -Q$$
$$S$$